Rules and Ancillary Document Review Checklist (This form must be filled out electronically.)

All responses should be in **bold** format.

Document Reviewed (include title):

ETA 434.04.169 Business and occupation-Sales tax: Nonprofit organization's retailing magazines

Date last adopted: July 9, 1971

Reviewer: Alan R. Lynn

Date review completed: September 13, 2000

Is this document being reviewed at this time because of a taxpayer or business association request? (If "YES", provide the name of the taxpayer/business association and a brief explanation of the issues raised in the request). **YES** \square **NO** X

Type an "x" in the column that most correctly answers the question, and provide clear, concise, and complete explanations where needed.

1. Explain the goal(s) and purpose(s) of the document:

This document provides tax-reporting information to nonprofit organizations. It explains that nonprofit organizations must remit business and occupation tax and collect and remit retail sales tax on sales of religious magazines.

2. <u>Need</u>:

YES	NO	
	X	Is the document necessary to comply with the statutes that authorize it? (E.g.,
		Is it necessary to comply with or clarify the application of the statutes that are
		being implemented? Does it provide detailed information not found in the
		statutes?)
X		Is the document obsolete to a degree that the information it provides is of so
		little value that the document warrants repeal or revision?
X		Have the laws changed so that the document should be revised or repealed?
		(If the response is "yes" that the document should be repealed, explain and
		identify the statutes the rule implemented, and skip to Section 10.)
	X	Is the document necessary to protect or safeguard the health, welfare (budget
		levels necessary to provide services to the citizens of the state of
		Washington), or safety of Washington's citizens? (If the response is "no", the
		recommendation must be to repeal the document.)

Please explain.

This document should be repealed. The document explains that nonprofit organizations are generally subject to tax when making sales, in this case the B&O tax imposed by RCW 82.04.220 and the sales tax imposed by RCW 82.08.020, as is any other type of organization.

ETA 434 fails to recognize subsequent legislation (Chapter 336, Laws of 1998, as codified in RCW 82.04.3651 and 82.08.02573) that provides B&O and retail sales tax exemptions for certain fund-raising sales. It also provides an incorrect definition of "newspaper", which is defined in RCW 82.04.214.

3. Related ancillary documents, court decisions, BTA decisions, and WTDs: Complete Subsection (a) only if reviewing a rule. Subsection (b) should be completed only if the subject of the review is an ancillary document. Excise Tax Advisories (ETAs), Property Tax Bulletins (PTBs) and Audit Directives (ADs) are considered ancillary documents.

(a)

YES	NO	
		Are there any ancillary documents that should be incorporated into this rule?
		(An Ancillary Document Review Supplement should be completed for each
		and submitted with this completed form.)
		Are there any ancillary documents that should be repealed because the
		information is currently included in this or another rule, or the information is
		incorrect or not needed? (An Ancillary Document Review Supplement should
		be completed for each and submitted with this completed form.)
		Are there any Board of Tax Appeal (BTA) decisions, court decisions, or
		Attorney Generals Opinions (AGOs) that provide information that should be
		incorporated into this rule?
	•	Are there any administrative decisions (e.g., Appeals Division decisions
		(WTDs)) that provide information that should be incorporated into the rule?

(b)

YES	NO	
		Should this ancillary document be incorporated into a rule?
		Are there any Board of Tax Appeal (BTA) decisions, court decisions, or
		Attorney Generals Opinions (AGOs) that affects the information now
		provided in this document?
		Are there any administrative decisions (e.g., Appeals Division decisions
		(WTDs)) that provide information that should be incorporated into the
		document?

If the answer is "yes" to any of the questions in (a) or (b) above, identify the pertinent document(s) and provide a <u>brief</u> summary of the information that should be incorporated into the document.

4. Clarity and Effectiveness:

YES	NO	
		Is the document written and organized in a clear and concise manner?
		Are citations to other rules, laws, or other authority accurate? (If no, identify
		the incorrect citation below and provide the correct citation.)
		Is the document providing the result(s) that it was originally designed to
		achieve? (E.g., does it reduce the need for taxpayers to search multiple rules
		or statutes to determine their tax-reporting responsibilities, help ensure that the
		tax law and/or exemptions are consistently applied?)
	_	Do changes in industry practices warrant repealing or revising this document?
		Do any administrative changes within the Department warrant repealing or
		revising this document?

Please explain.

5. Intent and Statutory Authority:

YES	NO	
		Does the Department have sufficient authority to adopt this document? (Cite
		the statutory authority in the explanation below.)
		Is the document consistent with the legislative intent of the statutes that
		authorize it? (I.e., is the information provided in the document consistent with
		the statute(s) that it was designed to implement ?) If "no", identify the
		specific statute and explain below. List all statutes being implemented in
		Section 9, below.)
		Is there a need to recommend legislative changes to the statutes being
		implemented by this document?

Please explain.

6. Coordination: Agencies should consult with and coordinate with other governmental entities that have similar regulatory requirements when it is likely that coordination can reduce duplication and inconsistency.

YES	NO	
		Could consultation and coordination with other governmental entities and/or
		state agencies eliminate or reduce duplication and inconsistency?

Please explain.

7. Cost: When responding, consider only the costs imposed by the document being reviewed and not by the statute.

YES	NO	
		Have the qualitative and quantitative benefits of the document been considered
		in relation to its costs? (Answer "yes" only if a Cost Benefit Analysis was
		completed when the rule was last adopted or revised.)

Please explain.

8. Fairness: When responding, consider only the impacts imposed by the document being reviewed and not by the statute.

YES	NO	
		Does the document result in equitable treatment of those required to comply
		with it?
		Should it be modified to eliminate or minimize any disproportionate impacts on
		the regulated community?
		Should the document be strengthened to provide additional protection to
		correct any disproportionate impact on any particular segment of the regulated
		community?

Please explain.

9. LISTING OF DOCUMENTS REVIEWED: (Use "bullets" with any lists, and include documents discussed above. Citations to statutes, ancillary documents, and similar documents should include titles. Citations to Attorneys General Opinions (AGOs) and court, Board of Tax Appeals (BTA), and Appeals Division (WTD) decisions should be followed by a brief description (i.e., a phrase or sentence) of the pertinent issue(s).)

Statute(s) Implemented:

Ancillary Documents (i.e., ETAs, PTBs, and ADs):

Court Decisions:

Board of Tax Appeals Decisions (BTAs):

Administrative Decisions (e.g., WTDs):

Attorney General's Opinions (AGOs):

Other Documents (e.g., special notices or Tax Topic articles, statutes or regulations administered by other agencies or government entities, statutes, rules, or other documents that were reviewed but were not specifically relevant to the subject matter of the document being reviewed):



_____ Amend

x Repeal

Leave as is

Begin the rule-making process for possible revision. (Applies only when the Department has received a petition to revise a rule.)
Incorporate ancillary document into a new or existing rule. (Subject of this review must an ancillary document and not a rule.)
Explanation of recommendation: (If recommending an amendment of an existing rule, provide only a brief summary of the changes you've identified/recommended earlier in this review document.)
This document provides outdated and incorrect tax-reporting information. It should be repealed.
11. Manager action: Date:
Reviewed recommendation Accepted recommendation
Returned for further action
Comments: